UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,406	03/29/2006	Bernadette Verneau	065691-0397	3436
	7590 12/18/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIXI	MI, QIUWEN		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/542,406	VERNEAU, BERNADETTE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
QIUWEN MI	1655	

	QIUWEN MI		1655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN (	CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	n the same day as filin replies: (1) an amend eal (with appeal fee) i	ng a Notice of A Iment, affidavit n compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) th ater than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (f).	from the mailing (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory perior than three months after	onding amount o	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was an appearance.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or se			cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• •	er of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	· · · ·	ice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		n a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,14,17-22,33 and 34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15,16,23,26-32,3</u> .	<u>5 and 36</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		N -	4:£ A  :	ht
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejection y and was not earlier	s under appea presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the	claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the	e application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper N	lo(s)		
	/Michele FI Primary Exa	ood/ aminer, Art U	nit 1655	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claim limitation "wherein the composition comprises beeswax and glycerol palmitostearate, wherein the weight ratio of beeswax represents approximately 5% by weight based on the total composition, and wherein the weight ratio of glycerol palmitostearate represents approximately 5% by weight ratio of glycerol palmitostearate represents approximately 5% by weight based on the total composition" in clalim 1. lines 10-14 requires a new consideration. It is noted that claims 35 and 36 in claims filed on 8/31/09 are withdrawn as they are directed to non-elected species.